

STRUCTS 'N CLASSES

Dynamic Memory Review

- How do we dynamically declare an array of 100 int's?
- How do we delete it?
- What happens if you forget to delete it (or you delete it incorrectly)?

Some string review

- How are strings represented in C++?
- What is a NULL terminator?



- How much memory should you allocate for a string?
- What very important thing do you need to do when putting characters into a string?
- What's a fast way of declaring and initializing string variables?
- How do we embed a newline or a quotation mark in a string

Comparing Strings

```
char one[10], two[10];
```

```
strcpy( one, "hello" );
strcpy( two, "hello" );
```

```
if( other == name )
    cout << "same";
else
    cout << "different";</pre>
```

- Say we need to compare two strings...
- Can we do it this way?
- Would <, >, <=, or
 >= work any better?

Comparing Strings

- The usual way to compare strings is *lexicographically* - think phone book/dictionary
- One function to do this is **strcmp**:



strcmp returns an integer that is:

- < 0 when s1 < s2
- 0 when s1 == s2
- > 0 when s1 > s2

For more information...

- The C standard library has many functions for working with strings:
 - formatting/modifying them
 - copying/manipulating them
 - converting them back and forth from integers, floats, etc.
 - ... and so on
- Google "string.h" and read about these if and when you need them!

So Far, We Can:



- Declare and use simple data types (int, float, char, bool, etc.)
- Use those data types in arrays
- This isn't enough, though: most complicated programs require groups of information, all neatly stored together

Motivation...

- Example: MP3 ID3 tags
- We might want to store name, bit rate, year, length, artist, album, etc.
- We've learned no convenient way of doing this, short of maybe declaring a variable for each item.
- This quickly becomes unworkable





Introducing struct!

- ... but it makes more sense to group them all together in a single data type, which we get to define
- We can do this with a C++ concept called a structure



Our Very Own Data Type!

- So now we have our very own data type, called id3Tag that we can use - at this point id3Tag can be treated just like any built-in type
- We can declare variables of type id3Tag the same way we would with any other type:

id3Tag soulBossaNova; id3Tag* ptrToSong; id3Tag U2[50]; struct id3Tag ticketToRide;

 Note that we can also treat the word struct like it's part of the type - this is a holdover from C

The Rules

- Structure members can be of any type
- Arrays can be structure members
- A structure can be a member of another structure
- A structure **can't** contain an instance of itself.
- It **can**, however, contain pointers to itself.

```
struct node // bad
{
    int payload;
    node variable;
};
```

```
struct node // good
{
    int payload;
    node* variable;
};
```

 Statically allocated structures are accessed using the dot operator (the period):

```
id3Tag soulBossaNova;
soulBossaNova.year = 1982;
cout << soulBossaNova.year << endl;
id3Tag U2[50];
strcpy( U2[5].name, "Beautiful Day" );
```

 Members of a structure can be accessed and used like regular variables, because they *are* regular variables - just grouped with others.

 Accessing through a pointer (as with any dynamically created structure) uses a different access mechanism: the arrow (->) operator

> id3Tag* soulBossaNova = new id3Tag; soulBossaNova->year = 1982;

- Mixing up access operators will cause a compiler error
- What would be another way of accessing the year member?

id3Tag* soulBossaNova = new id3Tag; soulBossaNova->year = 1982;

- Note that we're doing dynamic memory allocation here - this works the same way as it does for all the "regular" types
- This is where dynamic allocation actually gets useful (we see this more later)
- Remember, we have to clean up after ourselves:

delete soulBossaNova;

- You can treat variables within a structure exactly as if they were "regular" variables
- Each of them has the same type and characteristics they would have if they were not in a structure
- The structure serves only to group these variables together - it doesn't change their individual properties

Passing Structures

```
struct video
{
    int* frame;
    int list[10];
    int title;
};
```



void func(video v);

- A structure can be passed as a parameter to a function, just like any other type
- By default, structures are passed by value.
- When/why would you want to pass by reference instead?
- What are some potential problems in passing by value?

Passing Structures By Value

- When structures get passed by value, each member of the structure gets copied.
- This becomes a problem when a structure contains pointers:



... back to structures

- Structures can include pointers to other structures of the same type
- This is how we can start to create more complicated data structures: lists, trees, graphs, etc.
- An example (from a few slides back): here's what each node of a linked list looks like:





Example: Linked Lists

- Let's make a simple linked list structure
- ... and some code that will add integers to it
- This will tie directly into your assignment!

Project Two

Write a program that allows the user to enter words and counts their frequency



- Use an alphabetized linked list that stores the word and its count
- Whenever a word is encountered, insert it in the list (if it isn't there already) and increment its count
- At the end, print out all the words (in alphabetical order) and their frequency

Project Two



The tricky bits:

- Checking if a given word is already in the list
- Inserting into the linked list (in alphabetical order)
 - ... these two can be done in one step!
- Properly cleaning up the linked list



Structures, cont.

- Structures are essentially a concept from C
- They have several limitations:
 - copying them can be a pain
 - You can easily have uninitialized data (everybody forgets sometime!)
 - The program using the struct has full access to everything in it

Full Access

- The program using the struct has full access to everything in it
- Why can this be a problem?
- Sometimes you want to put restrictions on what data a variable can contain:

If you were designing a clock, you'd probably want to ensure that 0 <= minute <= 59 ...

... but nothing would stop you from writing code like this:

Time curTime; curTime.minute = 85;

Object Oriented Programming



- Object Oriented Programming (OOP) is a methodology that addresses some of these limitations.
- Structures are intended to hold data, but most problems require both data and the logic that operates on that data
- OOP gives us that abstraction, by letting us couple the data together with functions that do stuff with that data.

OOP Basics

- Let's say you want to do a lot of work with 3D vectors. For a 3D vector, you have:
 - **Data:** x, y, z (all floating point numbers)
 - **Operations:** addition, normalization, etc.
- We can bring these things together by defining an **abstract data type**.
- But first... how would we use a struct to represent the data parts?

vector3D as a structure

```
struct vector3D
{
    float x;
    float y;
    float z;
};
```

This is how we would define a struct to handle the data side of things.

- Remember: this is a *definition* of a structure. Here we *define* what data is going to be in the structure the data itself doesn't exist until we make an *instance* of this structure.
- So how do we add the other part of an ADT the operations that use this data?

introducing: class

We define an ADT in C++ using the class keyword. Here's the class version of the vector3D structure:



We have this new public keyword sitting there.We'll get to this in a bit. We're now using class here instead of struct.

Everything else is exactly the same! Right now this behaves exactly like our struct version.

introducing: class

doesn't work!

```
class vector3D
{
public:
    float x = 3.0;
    float y = -1.5;
    float z = 42.0;
};
```

works fine

```
class vector3D
{
public:
    float x;
    float y;
    float z;
};
```

- Again, like a struct, this isn't an actual usable object yet - it's a *definition* of what an object will look like when we get around to making one of this type.
- So we can't initialize variables here (does it make sense why not?)

- We've got the data part defined: now we need to need to define the operations part.
- You do that by adding functions that belong to the class (these are often called **methods**, or sometimes **member functions**).
- The point of these methods is to operate on the data within the class.
- Maybe we often need to calculate the length of a 3D vector. How do we add a method to do that?

```
class vector3D
{
  public:
    float length();
    float x;
    float y;
    float z;
};
```



- Now we've added length(), a method to calculate the Euclidean length of the vector (just an example the math isn't important).
- Note that this is just a declaration (or prototype) of the function - we still need to define the body of the method!

{

}

```
class vector3D
public:
    float length();
    float x;
    float y;
    float z;
};
float vector3D::length()
{
    float dist;
    dist = x*x + y*y + z*z;
    return sqrt(dist);
}
```

The body of a function is often defined outside of a class declaration.

We tell the compiler that this function belongs to the class vector3D using the scope resolution operator (::)

float vector3D::length()

```
// body goes here
```

```
class vector3D
public:
    float length();
    bool isOnFire()
    Ł
        return false;
    }
    float x;
    float y;
    float z;
};
float vector3D::length()
{
    float dist;
    dist = x*x + y*y + z*z;
    return sqrt(dist);
}
```



We can also define methods within the body of the class itself.

isOnFire() is completely defined within the function declaration; no external body is required (or allowed) for this function.

```
class vector3D
public:
    float length();
    float x;
    float y;
    float z;
};
float vector3D::length()
{
    float dist;
    dist = x*x + y*y + z*z;
    return sqrt(dist);
}
```

- Every method that belongs to a class *must* be declared in the class declaration!
- This isn't like regular functions, where you can just define a function without giving it a prototype first
- The prototype goes in the class declaration

Classes and Scope

```
class vector3D
public:
    float length();
    float x;
    float y;
    float z;
};
float vector3D::length()
{
    float dist;
    dist = x*x + y*y + z*z;
    return sqrt(dist);
}
```

- Every class defines its own scope: x, y, and z are all part of vector3D's scope
- Every method in a class has access to that scope
- So, length() can access x, y, and z as if they were local variables
- Can two classes have member variables with the name "distance"?

Access Controls

- Remember this example from earlier?
- We wanted to avoid letting code set the minute variable to something invalid
- If Time is a struct, nothing prevents us from setting minute to something weird.

If you were designing a clock, you'd probably want to ensure that 0 <= minute <= 59 ...

... but nothing would stop you from writing code like this:

Time curTime; curTime.minute = 85;



```
class vector3D
public:
   float length();
   float x;
   float y;
   float z;
};
int main()
{
   vector3D v;
   v.x = 1.5;
}
```

Public Access

- This brings us back to the mysterious public keyword.
- Any variables declared in the public section can be accessed by *any* part of the program
- Any function in the public section can be called by any part of the program
- main() can modify v.x,
 because x is public

```
class vector3D
public:
    float length();
private:
    float x;
    float y;
    float z;
};
float vector3D::length()
    float dist;
    dist = x*x + y*y + z*z;
    return sqrt(dist);
}
int main()
   vector3D v;
   v.x = 1.5; // bad!
```

Private Access

- private is another access specifier that we use to "hide" member variables
- The only functions that can access private variables are methods in *that class*
- Likewise, private functions (methods) can only be called by other methods in the same class
- Now, main() can't access x!

```
class vector3D
{
public:
    float length();
    void flip();
```

```
private:
    void doPrivateStuff();
    void doubleUp();
    float calcTangent();
```

```
float x;
float y;
float z;
```

```
public:
    void normalize();
};
```

Access Specifiers

- public and private start their own sections: everything in that section has that access attribute (until a new section starts)
- If you don't specify, the default access specifier for a class is *private*
- There's also a third access specifier: **protected**
- We'll talk about that one later on in the course.

Creating Instances of an Object

- So far, remember, we've just defined the class
- The process of using that class definition to make a real, usable object is called **instantiation** this allocates memory for the object and lets you do stuff with it (how *much* memory do we need?)
- You make instances of the class the same way you do for any other type:

```
vector3D vec;
vector3D* ptr = new vector3D;
```



Using Objects

- Once you've instantiated an object, you access its members the same way you access a structure
- We access class methods the same way: using the dot operator (.) or the arrow operator (->)

```
// declared statically
vector3D vec;
vec.x = 42;
cout << vec.length() << endl;</pre>
```

```
// declared dynamically
vector3D* ptr = new vector3D();
ptr->x = 42;
cout << ptr->length() << endl;</pre>
```

What has to be true in order for this code snippet to compile?



Accessor Methods

```
class time
private:
    int tHour;
    int tMinute;
    int tSecond;
};
int main()
{
    time t;
    // bad!
    t.tHour = 9;
    return 0;
}
```

- Once we've declared variables private, how does outside code (e.g. main) get access to them?
- They can't access them directly...
- The only code that *can* access private variables are member functions.
- So we need member functions to access these variables for us.

Accessor Methods

```
class time
public:
    int hour();
    void setHour( int h );
private:
    int tHour;
    int tMinute;
    int tSecond;
};
int main()
{
    time t;
    t.setHour( 9 );
    cout << t.hour();</pre>
    return 0;
```

- The accessor methods themselves are public, so they can be called by anything
- The set accessor method can also make sure that the data is valid:

```
void time::setHour( int h )
{
    if( h > 12 )
        h = 12;
    if( h < 1 )
        h = 1;
    hHour = h;
}</pre>
```

encapsulation

• A class's *interface* is made up of the public methods you use to interact with the class.



- Accessor methods are used to separate interface from implementation
- This process is called **encapsulation**.
- The idea: hide all the class implementation details from the code that is using the class no outside code should *have* to know the details!
- You don't need to know how a car works in order to drive one just how to use the car's "interface"!

benefits of encapsulation

- One major reason for encapsulation:
- As long as a class's interface stays consistent, this lets you completely change the way a class works internally and not "break" any code that relies on the class
 - Say we wanted to change our time class to store an epoch instead: the number of seconds since midnight.
 - As long as the time interface stays consistent, we just have to write the accessor functions and no other code has to change.

introducing const

```
class time
public:
    int hour() const;
    void setHour();
private:
    int tHour;
    int tMinute;
    int tSecond;
};
int time::hour() const
```

```
// this is an error!
  tSecond = 10;
}
```

- Defining good interfaces also can protect you from your *own* mistakes
- For example... accessor methods that get variables can be marked as read-only, so the compiler will generate an error if that method tries to modify anything in the class
- This is done with C++ keyword const, which has been sadly neglected until now

const methods



The keyword **const** comes *after* the method name - think of it as part of the function name

It also has to be there in the function definition

Since hour() is marked const, it can't modify anything in the class without causing a compiler error.

```
int global = 42;
void changeGlobal()
ł
   global++;
class time
public:
    int hour() const;
    void setHour( int h )
       tHour = h;
private:
    int tHour;
```

int tMinute;

int tSecond;

};

const methods

```
int time::hour() const
{
    return tHour;
}
```

```
int time::hour() const
```

```
changeGlobal();
return tHour;
```

}

Which of these versions of the hour() method will compile?

```
int time::hour() const
{
    setHour( 11 );
    return tHour;
}
```

const parameters

- const is especially useful for references
- Pass-by-reference is efficient, but leaves parameters open to getting changed in ways you might not expect
- If the function accepts a const reference, you have some assurance that parameters will remain unchanged!

```
struct bigData { ... };
int sneakyFunc( bigData& b )
    b.count++;
   return b.number*3;
}
int main()
   bigData data;
   sneakyFunc( data );
```

we can change sneakyfunc to: int sneakyFunc(const bigData& b)

Then it can't change any values inside b, because the parameter is marked const. By adding const we ensure b remains unchanged.

... and finally ...

• There's the const variable. Useful for things like mathematical constants:

const float pi = 3.14159265;

 Any variable can be declared const; once it is initialized, it can't be changed.





Fun With Code!

• Let's write a circle class with:

- a radius
- get/set member functions
- methods to calculate area and circumference